

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY  
a/s/o Mariah Carey, 777 San Marin Drive  
Novato, California 94998,

**ANSWER TO SECOND  
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff,

-against-

CORN ASSOCIATES LLC  
86 Chambers Street, Suite 704  
New York, New York 10007

Docket No.: 07 CIV 8604

and

THE FRANKLIN TOWER CONDOMINIUM  
380 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10168

and

KATZ METAL FABRICATORS INC.  
434 E. 165<sup>th</sup> St.  
Bronx, New York 10456

and

SAMCO PLUMBING, INC.,  
36-20 13<sup>th</sup> Street  
Long Island City, New York 11106

Defendants.  
-----X

Defendant, KATZ METAL FABRICATORS, INC., by and through the law firm of  
MURPHY & HIGGINS, LLP, as and for an Answer to plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint,  
alleges the following upon information and belief:

### **PARTIES**

1. DENIES KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT TO FORM A BELIEF as to the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9", "10", "11", "15", "16", and "17", and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

2. Upon information and belief, DENIES each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "14" and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

### **JURISDICTION**

3. Upon information and belief, DENIES each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "18", and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

4. DENIES KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT TO FORM A BELIEF as to the allegations contained in paragraph designated "19", and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

5. Responding to the allegations contained within paragraph designated "20", the answering defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every response previously made.

6. DENIES KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT TO FORM A BELIEF as to the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "21", "22", "23", "24", "25", "26", "27",

"28", "29", "30", "31", and "32" and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

**COUNT I**

7. Responding to the allegations contained within paragraph designated "33", the answering defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every response previously made.

8. DENIES KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT TO FORM A BELIEF as to the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "34", and "35", and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

**COUNT II**

9. Responding to the allegations contained within paragraph designated "36", the answering defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every response previously made.

10. DENIES KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT TO FORM A BELIEF as to the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "37", and "38", and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

**COUNT III**

11. Responding to the allegations contained within paragraph designated "39", the answering defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every response

previously made.

12. Upon information and belief, DENIES each and every allegation contained within paragraph designated "40", and refers all questions of law to the Honorable Court.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST AND COMPLETE  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Upon information and belief, that the damages, if any, sustained by the plaintiff at the time and place, or on the occasion referred to in the plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, were sustained or so suffered or caused, in whole or in part, by the negligent act or acts of the plaintiffs and the damages recoverable by plaintiffs, if any, shall be diminished in the proportion which the culpable conduct attributable to the plaintiffs bears to the culpable conduct which caused the damages.

Upon information and belief, this defendant is free from any negligence and in no way contributed to the occurrence and injuries referred to in the plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND AND COMPLETE  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The respective liability of this answering defendant, if any, is controlled by the operation of applicable sections of Article 16 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD AND COMPLETE  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Upon information and belief, the plaintiffs have failed to mitigate damages and may not recover for those claims found to have been excessive, avoidable, preventable or unrelated to the true damage sustained.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH AND COMPLETE  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

All claims alleged in the plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint against this defendant are barred by virtue of the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations.

**AS AND FOR A FIFTH AND COMPLETE  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The plaintiffs have failed to name all necessary parties and the Court should not proceed in their absence.

**AS AND FOR A CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST  
CORN ASSOCIATES LLC,  
THE FRANKLIN TOWER CONDOMINIUM,  
and SAMCO PLUMBING, INC.**

THAT If the answering defendant is adjudged liable to plaintiff herein upon the facts or by operation of law, then such liability will have come about either wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the co-defendants above named, or the greater responsibility for the injuries and damages sustained by the plaintiffs will be that of the co-defendants above named, and that by reason of the foregoing, the answering defendant demands that

the Court, on the trial hereof, either grant judgment over in favor of the answering defendant and against the co-defendants for the amount of any judgment rendered herein, or to apportion the responsibility in negligence, if any, between the parties.


THAT in the event the answering defendant is held liable to the plaintiff herein, then the answering defendant demands judgment against the co-defendants for such amount as he, she or they may be required to pay the plaintiffs over and above his, her or their proportionate share as may be determined by the apportionment of responsibility as adjudged herein.

WHEREFORE, the answering defendant demands judgment dismissing the Second Amended Complaint herein and further demands that the Court apportion the responsibility, if any, between the defendants and further demands judgment over and against the co-defendants, above named, for the amount of any sums paid to plaintiffs over and above his, her or their proportionate share of any judgment as determined by the apportionment of responsibility adjudged herein, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New Rochelle, NY  
April 16, 2008

Yours, etc.

MURPHY & HIGGINS, LLP

By:   
DANIEL M. SCHIAVETTA, JR. (DS 0379)  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KATZ METAL FABRICATORS INC.  
1 Radisson Plaza, 9<sup>th</sup> Fl.

New Rochelle, NY 10801  
(914) 712-1100

- TO: ROBERT W. PHELEN (RP-3825)  
COZEN O'CONNOR  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
45 Broadway Atrium, 16<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY 10006  
(212) 509-9400
- TO: OF COUNSEL  
MARK OPALISKY, ESQ.  
KATHLEEN LOUGHHEAD, ESQ.  
COZEN O'CONNOR  
1900 Market St.  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 665-2000
- TO: CORN ASSOCIATES, LLC  
Defendant  
86 Chambers St., Suite 704  
New York, NY 10007
- TO: WHITE, FLEISCHNER & FINO  
Attorneys for Defendant FRANKLIN TOWER CONDOMINIUM  
61 Broadway  
New York, NY 10006  
(212) 487-9700
- TO: SAMCO PLUMBING, INC.  
Defendant  
36-20 13<sup>th</sup> Street  
Long Island City, NY 11196